INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION 302 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE E-306

INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA 46204-2764 IN THE MATTER OF THE VERIFIED PETITION OF INDIANA MICHIGAN POWER COMPANY, D/B/A AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER, FOR APPROVAL OF AN ALTERNATIVE FEED SERVICE AGREEMENT WITH ELKHART GENERAL HOSPITAL

http://www.state.in.us/iurc/ Office: (317) 232-2701

Facsimile: (317) 232-6758

**CAUSE NO. 42787** 

MAY 1 3 2005

INDIANA UTILITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

You are hereby notified that on this date the Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission ("Commission") has caused the following entry to be made:

On May 10, 2005, Indiana Michigan Power Company ("I&M" or "Petitioner") filed a Motion to Suspend Procedural Schedule ("Motion") in this Cause. In its Motion, the Petitioner indicates that in their prefiled testimony the OUCC and Intervenor City of Fort Wayne presented suggested changes to the contract for Alternate Feed Service ("AFS") between I&M and Elkhart General Hospital ("Elkhart") which is at issue in this Cause. The Petitioner indicated that it is currently involved in discussions with Elkhart in an effort to determine whether the hospital is interested in modifying the current contract in a manner that would comport with the changes proposed by the Intervenor and the OUCC. In order to provide Elkhart with a sufficient opportunity to consider the revised contract, the Petitioner requests that the current procedural schedule be suspended.

The Presiding Officers, having reviewed the Motion and being duly advised in the premises, hereby find that the current procedural schedule should be suspended and that the Evidentiary Hearing scheduled for May 19, 2005, at 1:30 p.m. EST in Room E306 of the Indiana Government Center South, Indianapolis, Indiana should be VACATED. The Presiding Officers also find that the Petitioner should file a status report with the Commission on or before June 30, 2005, in order to advise the Commission of the status of this matter. If the parties are prepared to proceed at that time, the status report should include a revised proposed procedural schedule. If the parties need additional time they should indicate how much additional time they believe is necessary, and include a proposed date for the submission of a final status report and proposed procedural schedule.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

David E. Ziegner, Commissioner

Storms, Chief Administrative Law Judge